Abstract: Tourette syndrome is a heterogeneous disorder. The genetic basis is complex, and both in utero and ex utero environmental factors may modify the phenotypic expression of the disorder. Inflammation related to aberrations in immune activation appears to play a pathogenic role in some cases. Multiple neurochemical pathways are involved. Rather than being a pure movement problem, tics are now understood to also have a sensory component. This has resulted in new psychological therapeutic strategies and other potential treatments. Furthermore, comorbidities are common, particularly attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, anxiety and obsessive-compulsive disorder, and often cause more difficulties than the tics. The approach to treatment is dependent on the degree and types of impairment. For many patients, education, acceptance and understanding are all that is needed. In more severe cases, psychological and/or pharmacological interventions may be indicated. In this article, the clinical features and pathophysiology of Tourette syndrome are reviewed, and a pragmatic management approach is discussed.
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Tics have been of interest to neurologists and psychiatrists for centuries. In 1885, Georges Gilles de la Tourette, encouraged by his mentor neurologist Jean-Martin Charcot, reported a series of nine patients with ‘maladie des tics convulsifs avec coprolalie’, distinguishing it from chorea and hysteria. In fact, another famous French physician, Jean Itard, had first reported one of these cases in 1825, a noblewoman notorious for swearing loudly in the middle of conversations. Through much of the 20th century, psychoanalytic theory prevailed, contending that tics were the result of repressed masturbatory desires. These explanatory assumptions were prominent until the 1970s, when neurobiological understandings began to develop, partly in response to the observation that haloperidol can be helpful in treatment.

This article will focus on clinical assessment and management, with some background discussion of epidemiology and current understandings of aetiology and pathogenesis.

Definitions
Tourette syndrome (TS, also called Tourette disorder) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterised by chronic vocal and motor tics causing distress and functional impairment. DSM-5 criteria require the presence of both vocal and motor tics over more than 12 months. If there are only either vocal or motor tics, then the term chronic tic disorder is used (see Box 1).

Box 1. DSM-5 criteria for tic disorders
1. Tourette syndrome: Two or more motor and vocal tics occurring frequently for over a year, with onset typically in the early primary school period.
2. Persistent (chronic) motor or vocal tic disorder: One or more motor tics or vocal tics occurring many times a day nearly every day for longer than a year, with onset <18 years of age.
3. Provisional tic disorder: One or more motor tics or vocal tics for less than 12 months, with onset <18 years.

Epidemiology and Natural History
Transient tics occur in up to 25% of healthy children. Chronic tic disorder has a prevalence of approximately 1–3%. Prevalence estimates for TS in childhood vary from 0.1 to 1%, with a male:female ratio of approximately 4:1. The most common age of onset of TS is the early primary school years, although it sometimes begins earlier. Motor tics...
usually precede vocal tics. Tics tend to follow an unpredictable waxing and waning pattern over time. Maximum severity is typically between 10 and 12 years of age, with substantial improvement by late adolescence in the majority of cases. A total of 75% of TS patients have reduced severity by adulthood, although many adults still have mild tics.

**Tic Phenomenology**

Tics are sudden movements or vocalisations, which are repetitive and stereotypical. Common motor tics include eye blinking; jerks of head, shoulders and torso; and facial grimaces; vocal tics include humming noises, throat clearing, sniffing, grunting and squealing and, less commonly, calling out a word or phrase.

Tics change over time, with one tic replaced by another. In severe cases, tics can become complex, involving sequences of co-ordinated movements, such as arm straightening, tapping, jumping, hopping, body gyrations, obscene gestures or bizarre gait. Tics occasionally become ‘linked’ such that one tic leads rapidly to another and then another, in a repertoire unique to the individual. Superimposed voluntary compensatory tic suppression behaviours often contribute to the presentation.

Echophenomena (echolalia and echopraxia – mimicking of words and gestures, respectively) occur in nearly half of TS patients and are associated with comorbid obsessionality. Coprolalia occurs in 15–20% of individuals with TS, with onset typically about 5 years after tic onset. Coprolalia is more common in males and is associated with spitting, smelling of objects and inappropriate sexualised behaviour.

Tics have a sensory build-up component (premonitory urge), which precedes the tic and is relieved transiently by the movement or noise. The premonitory urge is usually not recognised or able to be described by young children; adolescents often describe it vaguely as an itch, which is relieved following execution of the tic. In contrast to involuntary movement disorders, such as chorea and dystonia, tics are suppressible, at the cost of the accumulation of inner tension. Another important feature is suggestibility: mentioning the tics or being asked about them can bring on a need to perform the tic.

Tics fluctuate in severity from hour to hour, day to day and week to week, with a ‘waxing and waning’ pattern over time. There are times where the tics are more frequent and may be associated with an identifiable stress, such as starting a new school year; however, often, there is no obvious environmental factor triggering a more severe period. Parents often report that tics are highly intense after the school day, for example, in the car on the way home, possibly related to conscious or subconscious suppression at school, followed by a ‘release’ of the tics. Tics can also occur in sleep.

**Comorbidities**

Up to 85% of children with TS have one or more neurodevelopmental or mental health comorbidities, and comorbidity is associated with worse functional outcomes. The most frequent comorbidities are attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD, seen in 54% of TS patients) and obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD, 50%). OCD in the context of tic disorders has a slightly different phenotype, with more counting, aggressive thoughts, symmetry and touching compared to OCD without tics, which has more contamination compulsions. The coexistence of tics with OCD has generated the concept of obsessive–compulsive tic disorder, which is more likely to have earlier symptom onset, male gender, sensory phenomena and ADHD. Discriminating a tic from a compulsion can sometimes be challenging but is therapeutically important. Tics typically have the ‘premonitory urge’, whereas compulsions are typically associated with obsessions and prominent anxiety.

Other comorbidities more commonly observed in children with TS include anxiety, externalising disorders (i.e. oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder), learning disorders, sleep disorders, impaired social cognition and sensory processing difficulties. Autistic spectrum disorder (ASD) has been diagnosed in 5–15% of TS cohorts. A recent study using the Social Responsiveness Scale found that 23% of TS patients met ASD criteria, although this was primarily due to higher scores in the repetitive and restricted behaviours subscale, highlighting the importance of social communication impairments in making an ASD diagnosis in a child with TS. Self-injurious behaviour occurs in a minority of TS patients, particularly in those who are highly obsessionall and those with ASD.

**Pathophysiological Hypotheses**

The pathophysiology of tics is not well understood but is believed to involve abnormalities in the pathways between the cerebral cortex and basal ganglia, leading to a background neuronal disinhibition in both motor and limbic systems. Aligning with the clinical association that sensory features are common, sensory limbic and executive corticostrial loops have also been demonstrated to be affected. Biochemically, TS appears to result from alteration in both dopaminergic modulation and histaminergic transmission, although other neurotransmitter systems may also be involved.

**Neuroimaging Findings**

Neuroimaging studies have demonstrated a range of differences in the brains of children with TS compared to healthy controls. Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings have been somewhat inconsistent but have included thinning of the sensorimotor and premotor cortices, reduced bilateral caudate volumes, alterations in cerebellar morphology and fronto-striatal white matter changes. Functional MRI studies have indicated that children with TS require increased activation in the fronto-striatal circuitry to suppress tics during task performance.

**Aetiology**

**Genetic vulnerability**

TS appears to be strongly genetic, most likely with a polygenic inheritance pattern. It is between 10 and 100 times more common in first-degree family members of TS patients compared with the general population. Environmental factors may contribute to the risk of phenotypic expression of TS.

TS genetic research methods have included large-scale collaborative genome-wide association studies, copy number variation studies and trio studies for rare large-effect mutations. In addition, some groups have explored gene–environment interactions with a focus on
infection and immunological differences.20,21 Although hundreds of genes appear to be involved, only a small proportion of risk has been explained.22 Some of the genetic vulnerability may not be solely transmitted in ‘neurological or psychiatric genes’ but may include immunogenetic risk factors, as is now recognised in ASD. Methylation and other epigenetic factors appear to influence gene expression in TS,23,24 supporting the importance of environmental contributions to the phenotype. Recent studies also emphasise the importance of deep phenotyping in genotype correlation studies, particularly the overlapping relationships between TS and OCD25 and ADHD.26

In summary, the genetic inheritance in TS is complex and involves modest contribution from rare de novo mutations, some contribution of copy number variation and epigenetic factors and overlap with ASD.27,28

**Immunological factors**

A small subgroup of patients present with the acute onset of florid tics in association with behavioural changes (particularly obsessive–compulsive behaviours, emotional lability), sometimes following an infection such as group A streptococcal or mycoplasma. The paediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infection (PANDAS) hypothesis states that streptococcal infections trigger immune responses that interact with the brain.29 PANDAS has evolved into paediatric acute neuropsychiatric syndrome, which removes the necessity of streptococcal infection and broadens the definition to an acute and sudden onset of tics and OCD.30 Although PANDAS and paediatric acute neuropsychiatric syndrome remain controversial, there are undoubtedly patients who are different from ‘conventional Tourette-OCD’, who have a well-documented acute onset of OCD and/or tics, often associated with a sudden behavioural and personality change with sensory symptoms, anxiety and other change in function.31 These patients are sometimes investigated for encephalitis; neuroimaging, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) studies are normal. These patients have a high rate of familial (particularly maternal) autoimmune disorders, suggesting a potential genetic vulnerability to immune dysregulation.32 There is some evidence that these patients (particularly those with severe tics) can respond to antibiotics33 or to immune therapy with steroids or intravenous immunoglobulin (at least initially),34 although more data is required to be definitive.

There is much literature exploring immune dysregulation in TS. The findings share many similarities with evidence of immune alterations observed in ASD.35,36 These include epidemiological linkage with maternal autoimmunity, elevated cytokines in blood and/or CSF, elevated mRNA of immune genes in blood, microglial activation suggesting neuro-inflammation and induction of symptoms by infection/inflammation in animal models.37 Taken together, these recent studies provide evidence that infection or inflammation in utero or ex utero is associated with TS expression.38 Other environmental factors, including in utero risk factors, perinatal factors and stress, have been recently reviewed.39

**Clinical assessment**

As discussed, chronic tic disorder and TS often present in the context of other developmental and mental health disorders, a patient group sometimes referred to as having ‘mixed developmental disorders’. The tics may or may not be the most prominent or impairing feature of the presentation. In any case, careful evaluation for the common comorbidities is an essential component of the paediatric assessment.

Tics may be observed in the consulting room, or parents may have video of them on their phones or other devices. In some cases, it is not entirely clear whether the symptoms are tics, stereotypy, compulsion or a movement disorder such as chorea. The presence on history of a premonitory urge with relief following the movement, as well as the ability to suppress the movement, supports the diagnosis of tics.

Although minor tics usually do not affect the individual greatly, more severe tics can be extremely impairing. The impairment may be influenced by the severity of the tics, how ‘camouflagable’ the tics are, the effort involved in camouflage that can be extremely distressing and how much the tics interfere with daily activities. Tics can be painful, and forceful neck tics have been reported, rarely, to cause injuries, including cervical disc herniation. Sometimes, children with TS experience peer victimisation and social ostracism, and, consequently, may have poor self-image, anger and depression. The family’s response is a key factor influencing functional status.

It is sometimes useful to quantify tics and their impairment. The clinician-rated Yale Global Tic Severity Scale is the gold standard measure of TS in children aged 6–17 years.39 It is a semi-structured interview to document the number, frequency, intensity, complexity and impairment associated with motor and phonic tics. Repeated measures of the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale can help with evaluating response to treatment.

Allied health assessment can be extremely helpful, particularly neuropsychological testing (executive function, academic function, social cognition) and occupational therapy assessment (sensory profile). Clinical psychology input can be helpful for assessment and intervention for anxiety, oppositional behaviour and family dynamic difficulties, which often develop in these patients over time.

**Management**

Patients with relatively mild TS do not necessarily need specific intervention for their tics. Education about the condition and its natural history is important and often extremely helpful. Screening for comorbid disorders such as ADHD, OCD, mood disorders and externalising disorders is important as these are often more impairing than the tics and may need targeted intervention.

If the tics themselves are severe and causing functional impairments then psychological and/or pharmacological intervention may be indicated. In the authors’ experience, only a minority of referred children require pharmacological treatment for the tics themselves.

**Education**

TS can be a distressing, baffling and stigmatising condition. Education for the patient, family and school is a key element in successful management. Children sometimes choose to present a talk to their class about TS; this can be highly empowering. The Tourette Syndrome Association of Australia provides support for families, including an informative website, local support groups, an annual conference and camps.
Psychological Treatment

The non-pharmacological approach for TS with the best evidence is known as comprehensive behavioural intervention for tics (CBIT). CBIT involves training the individual to recognise the premonitory urge and to generate voluntary competing responses that are incompatible with the tic (habit reversal training) and/or increase their tolerance to the premonitory urge (exposure with response prevention). CBIT has been shown to be superior to supportive psychotherapy for children aged 9–17 years with TS, including those with comorbid OCD and ADHD. Patients who are not taking tic-suppressing medications may experience greater benefit from CBIT than those on medication. As it is difficult to access trained CBIT therapists, online delivery of CBIT study is being investigated. Children younger than around 10 years of age may not have awareness of the premonitory urge or be able to understand and apply the strategies and so may not be suitable for CBIT. Furthermore, the success of a behavioural management programme for TS depends on the active involvement and commitment of the parents.

Medication

Although several medications have been shown to be superior to placebos in treating tics in children and adolescents, in practice, tics are typically reduced rather than eliminated and usually continue to follow a fluctuating course. Furthermore, the medications prescribed carry quite a high risk of side effects, some of which are serious. The choice of medication is often driven in part by the patient’s comorbidity profile, with the goal of targeting multiple symptoms, for example, tics and anxiety.

The alpha-2 adrenergic agonists clonidine or guanfacine are moderately effective in reducing tics and are often chosen first line, particularly in the common setting of comorbid ADHD, which also often responds to these medications. The most frequent side effect is sedation; given at night, they can be effective in treating associated sleep initiation or maintenance disorders.

If the alpha agonists are ineffective or not tolerated, then the second-generation anti-psychotics (SGA) risperidone or aripiprazole may be considered. These drugs are probably the most effective in reducing tics; however, side effects, including sedation and weight gain and associated metabolic disturbances (e.g. dyslipidaemia, insulin resistance), are often problematic. Extra-pyramidal side effects such as akathisia and tardive dyskinesia are also occasionally observed with SGAs. The first-generation anti-psychotic haloperidol is also effective in treating tics but has a higher risk of extra-pyramidal side effects (including acute dystonias) than the SGAs and so is usually reserved for severe and refractory cases. Other medication options occasionally used to directly target tics include the dopamine-depleting agent tetrabenazine, topiramate and baclofen, although in our experience, these agents are not particularly effective and/or not well tolerated.

In patients with moderate to severe ADHD and comorbid TS, methylphenidate remains the most effective treatment for ADHD and does not usually cause a worsening of tics, although this can occur. Combined methylphenidate plus clonidine may be superior to stimulant treatment alone. The selective noradrenergic reuptake inhibitor atomoxetine is an alternative; it has some beneficial effect on both ADHD and tics.

When TS is associated with severe OCD and/or generalised anxiety disorder unresponsive to first-line psychological treatment such as cognitive behaviour therapy, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor may be indicated, for example, fluoxetine, sertraline or fluvoxamine. In addition to being effective for the OCD/anxiety, there may be an indirect reduction in tic severity.

Novel Therapies

Botulinum toxin injection can be useful in adolescents for severe localised tics such as ‘whiplash’ tics, which can result in injury. Deep brain stimulation of subcortical targets has been used in adults with severe medically refractory TS, and there is some evidence suggesting a beneficial effect. Its role in paediatric TS remains uncertain, and given the expected improvements in tics between 12 and 20 years of age, it would seem inappropriate to consider deep brain stimulation in most adolescents with TS. Finally, cannabinoids may have a role in the treatment of TS in the future; preliminary studies have begun in adults.

Summary

TS is a complex neurodevelopmental disorder with heterogeneous aetiology. It is usually associated with multiple comorbid and/or secondary mental health disorders. Although the typical natural history is of improvement and often remission over time, TS can cause severe morbidity.

Management involves addressing the symptoms causing impairment, which may involve psychological and/or pharmacological treatment. It is hoped that the emerging understanding of the biological basis of TS will lead to treatments based more on the underlying physiological mechanisms, rather than just symptom control.

Multiple Choice Questions

1 Which one of the following clinical features is NOT characteristic of tics?
   a) Stereotypical movements
   b) Premontory urge
   c) Onset in adolescence
   d) Suppressibility
   e) Suggestibility
   Answer: c. The commonest age of onset is early primary school, sometimes earlier.

2 Which ONE of the following disorders is most frequently comorbid with Tourette syndrome?
   a) Intellectual disability
   b) Generalised anxiety disorder
   c) Autism spectrum disorder
   d) Obsessive-compulsive disorder
   e) Depression
   Answer: d. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder is about as common a comorbidity as obsessive-compulsive disorder (but was not an option in the multiple choice question).
3 Which ONE of the following medications is most effective for tics in children and adolescents?
   a) Alpha agonists  
   b) Stimulants  
   c) Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors  
   d) Anti-epileptic drugs  
   e) Bacofoilen

Answer: a. Alpha agonists are moderately effective but behavioural therapy is preferred.
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